1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney			
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division			
4 5 6 7 8 9	DEREK R. OWENS (CABN 230237) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6488 Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: Derek.Owens@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13				
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 07-0233 JSW			
15	Plaintiff, ) ) STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del>			
16	v. ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME			
17	CURTIS SCOTT,			
18	Defendant.			
19				
20				
21	On August 9, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status conference.			
22	At that time, the parties stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act			
23	calculations from August 9, 2007, through September 6, 2007, for effective preparation of			
24	defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time			
25	necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due			
26	diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice			
27	served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the			
28				
	STIP. AND ORDER CR 07-0233 JSW			

## Case 3:07-cr-00233-JSW Document 17 Filed 08/20/07 Page 2 of 2

1	defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).				
2					
3	SO STIPULAT	SO STIPULATED:			
4			SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney		
5		8/14/2007	/s/ Derek Owens		
6	DATED:		DEREK R. OWENS		
7			Assistant United States Attorney		
8	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	8/15/2007	/s/ Stephen Turer		
9	DATED:		STEPHEN TURER		
10			Attorney for Curtis Scott		
11	As the Court found on August 9, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that				
12 13	an exclusion of time between August 9, 2007, through September 6, 2007, is warranted and that				
14	the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the				
15	defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested				
16	continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,				
17	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice.				
18	See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).				
19					
20					
21	SO ORDERED.				
22					
23	DATED: August 20, 2007  THE HANDELLE LIKE S. WHITE				
24					
25	United State District Court Judge				
26					
27					
28					
	STIP. AND OR CR 07-0233 JS		2		